



Государственный институт искусствознания (Москва, Россия)

Международный научно-культурный центр академических контактов (Москва, Россия)

Научно-исследовательский центр китайской танцевальной культуры и искусства (Мяньян - Сычуань, Китай)

При содействии Министерства культуры Российской Федерации Фонда «Искусствознание: наука, опыт, просвещение»

Искусствознание: наука, опыт, просвещение

Международная научная конференция **9-11 ноября 2017 года (Москва)**

К участию в конференции приглашаются:

- ✓ Искусствоведы по видам искусства (музыкальное, театральное, изобразительное, декоративно-прикладное искусство, архитектура и пр.);
- ✓ Преподаватели художественных и гуманитарных вузов;
- ✓ Театральные и музыкальные деятели и художники;
- ✓ Ученые-гуманитарии, чьи научные интересы охватывают тематику конференции;
- ✓ Аспиранты, молодые ученые.

ОСНОВНЫЕ НАПРАВЛЕНИЯ РАБОТЫ КОНФЕРЕНЦИИ:

- ✓ Историко-теоретические проблемы научного искусствознания
- ✓ Философское знание о сущности искусства
- ✓ Социальное функционирование искусства
- ✓ Художественные проблемы медийных и массовых искусств
- ✓ Творческие возможности технических видов искусств кинематографа, анимации, фотографии, радио и телевидения

✓ Художественное образование детей и молодежи.

Заявку на участие в конференции просим прислать не позднее 11 сентября 2017 года.

Регламент докладов — 20 мин. На конференции предусматривается возможность показа видео- и аудиоиллюстраций. Возможны выступления по скайпу и стендовые доклады.

В рамках конференции запланирована культурная (театральная, музейная, экскурсионная) программа.

Координатор конференции – Галима Ураловна Лукина.

Секретарь конференции – Анастасия Хахалкина

Контактные адреса: lukina@sias.ru, matsko.ana@yandex.ru

Просим направлять заявки одновременно по двум адресам.

Оргкомитет готов предоставить, если необходимо, официальные письма с приглашением.

Заявка на участие в Международной научной конференции «Искусствознание: наука, опыт, просвещение» 9-11 ноября 2017 года

Фамилия	
Имя,	
отчество	
Учёная степень,	
звание	
Место работы (учёбы),	
должность	
Домашний	
адрес	
E-mail	
Телефон	
Тема	
доклада	

Тезисы доклада (до 1 000 печатных знаков)

Оргкомитет оставляет за собой право отклонять заявки с тезисами, не соответствующими тематике конференции.

Просьба следить за информацией о программе конференции на сайте Государственного института искусствознания

(http://sias.ru/research/events/)

ПУБЛИКАЦИЯ МАТЕРИАЛОВ:

По итогам конференции планируется издание двух сборников статей (на русском и английском языках):

- 1. Статьи **на русском языке** войдут в сборник материалов конференции, который будет издан Государственным институтом искусствознания. Сборник будет размещен в базе РИНЦ. Требования к оформлению материалов: объем текста до 30 тыс. печ. зн., шрифт Times New Roman, через 1,5 интервала, кегль 14, сноски концевые, аннотация на рус. и анл. яз., ключевые слова. **Статьи на русском языке** должны быть представлены до 1 ноября 2017 г. на электронную почту lukina@sias.ru, matsko.ana@yandex.ru.
- 2. Статьи **на английском языке** (прошедшие рецензирование Оргкомитета) войдут в «Proceedings of the International Conference on Art Studies: Science, Experience, Education. Advances in Social Science, Education and Humanities Research». Сборник публикуется **онлайн** издательством Atlantis Press (Париж, Франция). Указанный сборник статей на английском языке будет предоставлен в международную базу данных Web of Science для оценивания. В случае положительного решения по результатам экспертизы, сборник будет включен в базу данных Web of Science. Прием статей на английском языке осуществляет член Оргкомитета по организации конференции Международный научно-культурный центр академических контактов (International Science and Culture Center for Academic Contacts, ISCCAC).

Стоимость публикации на английском языке составляет 85 евро (в сумму входят редакторские и издательские услуги Atlantis Press, лингвистическое и техническое редактирование статьи). Указанная сумма перечисляется на счет Международного научно-культурного центра академических контактов. Перечисление денежных средств необходимо произвести после уведомления о приеме статьи к публикации.

В сборник материалов конференции на английском языке принимается только одна статья от одного автора (или авторов, если статья написана в соавторстве). Публикация сборников материалов конференции предполагается после завершения конференции.

Статьи на английском языке должны быть представлены до 30 сентября 2017 г. на электронную почту Международного научно-культурного центра академических контактов: isccac@mail.ru

Требования к оформлению материалов на английском языке:

- ✓ Объем статьи до 20 000 печатных знаков с пробелами (на английском языке).
- ✓ Текстовый редактор Microsoft Word;

- ✓ Параметры страницы: формат A4; поля: правое -20 мм, левое -20 мм, верхнее -20 мм, нижнее -30 мм;
- ✓ Нумерация страниц вверху от центра;
- ✓ Абзацный отступ 1,25 см;
- ✓ Интервал одинарный;
- ✓ Шрифт Times New Roman; кегль 12 пт.;
- ✓ Построчные сноски (примечания) располагаются внизу страницы (постраничный кегль сносок 10 пт.);

Название файла должно содержать имя и фамилию автора.

Структура статьи:

Название (располагается по центру)

Имя и фамилия автора (по центру), под которыми следуют:

- **>** название ВУЗа (обязательно); факультет, кафедра (по желанию
- **>** автора);
- > город, страна.
- > электронный адрес автора;

Аннотация (Abstract);

Ключевые слова (в количестве 5-7 слов);

Список литературы и других информационных ресурсов (ОБЯЗАТЕЛЕН!), который должен быть переведен на английский язык. Транслитерация допускается только в случае невозможности перевода в названиях издательств. Список оформляется в конце статьи по мере появления ссылки на источник в тексте. Ссылки следуют в тексте в квадратных скобках в конце предложения (например, [1] [2] [3]). Номера страниц указываются непосредственно в библиографическом списке в конце статьи;

Статья должна включать в себя следующие разделы:

I. Введение

Содержательные части с названиями под нумерацией II, III и т.д.

IV. Заключение.

Образец оформления статьи на английском языке

Self-Management as a Predictor of Student Performance

Elena Petanova Faculty of Psychology Saint Petersburg State University St. Petersburg, Russia e.petanova@spbu.ru

Radostina Stoyanova Department of Slavic Philology Saint Petersburg State University St. Petersburg, Russia

University of Economics - Varna, College of tourism

Department of Foreign Languages

Varna, Bulgaria r.stoyanova@spbu.ru

Abstract—This article reviews the study of predictors in fist-year students' academic performance with its index being calculated on the basis of their interim academic success assessments on two fields of study. The self-management capacity was determined by the methods of N. Peisakhov, and the sampling included 55 first-year students of the economic faculty of St-Petersburg State University (40 women and 15 men). The quantitative analysis was conducted using the descriptive statistics and regression analysis. The study revealed that the self-management operation 'forecasting' could be considered the predictor of student performance.

 $\textbf{\textit{Keywords---students}; student performance; self-management operations; academic performance predictors.}$

I. Introduction

Contemporary theorists of higher vocational education psychology believe training of university students is implying an active process of professionally important knowledge deepening and professional skills development. These specified goals can be pursued in the setting of students' productive self-management in their academic performance and interactions with subjects of the university learning environment.

Achievement of the successful learning in academic environment, as set out in the doctoral thesis of Y.D. Yankulova (2014), and of trainee individuals' full cognitive and individual potential, requires a specific learning environment wherein it is possible to attain the sustainable development of cognitive structures and knowledge systems, as well as of the skill to organize, assess and manage activities in process of learning. This would help achieve greater efficiency in the cognitive process, a significant change in the personality organization and the better self-management [1]. The problem of development of more efficient technologies optimizing the process of teaching and raising the level of student performance today becomes more obvious to many university professors and psychologists in the higher education field.

The scope of search for the psychological predictors in the student performance is constantly expanding. For instance, psychologists worldwide are beginning to have a closer look at different aspects of self-management capacity in professional education for the purpose of better student performance [2]. Russian psychologists have identified that the self-management capacity is the prerequisite of students' educational and professional successfulness [3]. Respondents in the cited research were psychologist students; however, it is necessary to clarify whether the obtained interrelatedness can be considered distinctive for students in other educational directions also.

II. Theoretical Background to the Research.

In Russia's psychological science the problem of self-management is widely presented in case studies of Kazan-based psychology school and takes its origin in the scientific efforts of N.M. Peisakhov, who sought to distinguish in the fundamental concept of individual's self-management the practical value and, in particular, to study students' self-management capacity in their learning environment [4].

For Peisakhov, the self-management presents a task-oriented self-modification or control by the individual of various forms of his individual activity—communicating, behaviour, activities and experiences. The scholar outlines also that self-management is essentially a creative process pertaining to the formation of a certain recency, with the necessity for setting new goals, looking for original solutions and resources to achieve goals. This particular element of creativeness and novelty forms the critical criterion separating the concepts of self-management and self-regulation—the latter offers the process that also includes changes, accomplished, however, within the limits of predetermined rules, norms and stereotypes. According to Peisakhov, the self-regulation is an act succeeding the self-management and bases on it. While the self-regulation function presents assimilation of the patterns previously developed through the self-regulation. Consequently, Peisakhov's concept treats self-management and self-regulation as "two sides of the individual's performance, the dialectical unity of changeable and sustainable in the ongoing development of individual's subjective world".

The fundamental rational of Peisakhov's theory is that the self-management capacity appears only when there is a meaningful need. Such need occurs in circumstances when conventional ways and means of activity are vain, when the individual experiences frustration from his goings and actions. In such case the individual, while investigating known practices and ways of behaving, begins to recognize the importance of getting down to the development of an algorithm of managing his activities intended to achieve meaningful goals, namely the self-management.

Among the most significant psychological studies addressing the interconnections between the self-management capacity and students' performance, must be mentioned the studies conducted in line with Peisakhov's concept [5] [6].

It has been also established that in the process of student's development, being the subject of the study, his self-management capacity was gradually improving. Moreover, has been identified the high-level relationship of self-management with the internal locus of control and that the extent of general self-management capacity can contribute to a higher estimation along the examination control.

The iinformation mentioned in the text, reflecting the relationship between the self-management capacity and academic success, presents a prerequisite for considering the self-management capacity as a constituent of the resource-based array of student performance.

This hypothesis became a basis for the empirical study.

III. Correlation Study on Self-Management Strategies and Student Performance.

The sample respondents included 55 first-year students of the economic faculty of SPbGU in the age group of 17-19 years (40 women and 15 men).

Psychodiagnostics was run at the hand-on seminar on psychology. Its target was the individually meaningful goal of developing self-comprehension and the identification of major personal attributes (at the first stage of adjustment to university studies). Completion of the questionnaire was carried out at a comfortable individual pace with the overall completion time limited to one academic hour.

The respondent students were concerned with Psychodiagnostics of their self-managements capacity; they also gave consent to the use of the findings in scientific research work.

IV. Psychodiagnostics Methodology.

The "Self-Management Capacity" questionnaire of N. Peisakhov was used as the psychodiagnostics tool. This technique was developed by N.M. Peisakhov in the laboratory of psychological problems of the higher school of Kazan State University. The test offers 48 assertions; if the respondent agrees with an assertion he tags '+', or '-' if disagrees. The respondents gave their answers in the reply forms. The results were processed by rowwise count of 'yes' and 'no' answers and totaled. Their general score was correlated to the psychodiagnostic scale. Respondents' gender identity is being taken into account.

While interpreting the obtained results the researchers took into consideration that the results positioning on the scale righthand marked the presence of the self-management system with a probability, however, of excessive prudence and rationality. The results in the scale's opposite side showed the respondent was likely to lack an integrated system of self-management and just some of its elements were pronounced.

The self-management capacity appears when usual activity methods and interpersonal relationship become ineffective and do not produce desired results. In such case, the individual applies new methods and ways, but when they fail, the need arises to analyse the situation, promote fresh targets so to change the situation. At this point, the development of self-management system, which, according to N.M. Peisakhov, includes eight phases, begins:

- 1) Analysis of contradictions or orientation in the situation—the individual develops his own psychological situation model and investigates it round about;
- 2) Forecasting—creation by the individual of a forecast model based on his past and current experiences, and on the study of antecedent and present contradictions;

- 3) Goal setting—forming of the subject pattern of the targeted and needed. Forecasting underlies the goal-setting. This is the transfer from the assumption about the possibility in principle to make changes to the assumption about probable outcomes.
 - 4) Planning—the individual creates the pattern of consummation means and the sequence of their utilization;
- 5) Quality assessment—the individual must answer the question what should be the criteria allowing assess the success in implementation of one or other strategy;
 - 6) Decision-making—moving from plans and reflections to practical steps;
- 7) Self-check—collection of information on how the delivery of the strategy in actual communication and activities is going;
- 8) Adjustment—alteration of actual doings, behaviour, communicating, experiences, and of the self-management system itself.

IV. Mathematical and Statistical Technology of Data Processing

Statistical processing of the empirical research findings employed the parametric methods of mathematic analysis, since the distribution of received data did not statistically definitely deviate from normal. Subsequently, 'the regression analysis' was carried out. Usable software: Microsoft Office Excel 2010; Statistica 9; SPSS 23.0.

The student performance index is built on the sum of examination scores in two major fields (Table 1).

TABLE 1. Statistics on the first-year students' performance (M – mean value; S.D. – standard deviation)

Discipline	Men		Women	
	М	S.D.	M	
Mathematical analysis	6.2	1.4	6.4	
Statistics in economics	7.2	1.5	7.1	
Average	6.7	1.1	6.8	

Gender differences in the academic performance have not been found; accordingly, all the indices hereinafter will be presented without the gender-based accentuation.

V. Formation of Students' Self-Management Strategies.

The "Self-Management Capacity" questionnaire of N. Peisakhov technology provided the eight-scale data, presenting in total the common self-management capacity value (Table 2). The extent of each self-management strategy was assessed in terms of standard criteria developed by the author of the technology, and positioned in one of three intensity categories - average, below the average, above the average.

To conclude, most of the results on specific self-management strategies correspond the average intensity, while above the average was identified on the 'decision-making' strategy, which speaks of the fact that this partial particial operation is actualized by the first-year students best of all.

Units of the upper part of the list, according to Peisakhov's comments, relate to the initial strategies of self-management in the terms of specific efforts (preparing for activities); the net index on the first four strategies (14.3) is higher than on the rest four strategies (12.7) accompanying achievement of the efforts. Therefore, it is expedient to advise the first-year students promoting their strategies that control actualization of efforts and assessing the outcomes.

TABLE 2. Statistics on the first-year students' strategies development (M – mean value; S.D. – standard deviation)

Scales	Samplir	ng values	Extent	
	М	S.D.	M	
Inconsistency	3.53	1.372	Average	

analysis			
Forecasting	4.02	1.269	Average
Goal setting	3.49	1.514	Average
Planning	3.29	1.560	average
Quality assessment	2.80	1.268	average
Decision-making	3.55	1.501	Above average
Self-control	3.40	1.180	average
Adjustment	2.95	1.026	average
Overall self- management capacity	27.02	6.19	Above average

The comparison of the extent of self-management strategies' formation by students with higher and lower performance has identified substantial differences on three self-management strategies and on the overall performance (Table 3).

TABLE 3. Statistics on the self-management of different level student performance (M – mean value, S.D. – standard deviation; F-test – Fisher test; p – significance point)

SM phase	Higher student performance		Lower student performance		F-test
	М	S.D.	M	S.D.	
Forecasting	4.53	1.042	3.40	1.258	8.617
Planning	3.57	1.501	2.96	1.594	3.796
Quality assessment	3.03	1.351	2.52	1.122	4.193
General self- management capacity	29.07	5.948	24.56	5.650	11.352

Thuswise, the consistent differences between the students with higher performance and lower performance have been identified on the following strategies: *forecasting, planning, control estimate and the general self-management capacity*.

Statistically significant lower results on the said scores are typical of the students with lower performance.

VI. The Self-Management Strategy Predictor Function Study

TABLE 4. Coefficient of regression model for students with different performance level (1 – more successful, 2-less successful).

]	Pattern	Non-standard coefficient		Standard coefficient	t	Value	Collinearity statistic
		В	Statistic uncertainty	beta			Allowance
	(Invariable)	-0.168	0.205		-0.823	0.414	
	Forecasting	0.178	0.049	0.449	3.656	0.001	1.000
	(Invariable)	-0.765	0.294		-2.600	0.012	
	Forecasting	0.170	0.046	0.430	3.700	0.001	1.003

.

Thus, it has been identified that the self-management strategy 'forecasting' presents the performance predictor for first-year students of the economic faculty of a classic university.

VII. Conclusion

The students' performance and their self-management capacity are closely interdependent. Students with high level of academic performance are demonstrating the overall high self-management capacity and better results on specific strategies—the ability to forecast, planning and quality assessment.

The 'forecasting' predictor function is independent of the level of student performance.

The hypothesis underlying this research has been verified—it has been empirically established that for Russian first-year students of the economic faculty the self-management strategy 'forecasting' can be the predictor function in terms of their academic performance. The results of this study can have practical application in the psychological and pedagogical support of students' training, as well as in the process of their counseling in order to achieve the best academic performance.

References

- [1] Y. D. Yankulova, "Cognitive personal determinants of self-regulated learning in the academic environment", extended abstract of dissertation for a Doctor of Science degree, Sophia, Sofia University St. Kliment Ohridski, 2014, p. 39.
- [2] H. Le, A. Casillas, S.|B. Robbins, et al., "Motivational and skills, social, and self-management predictors of college outcomes: Constructing the student readiness inventory", Educational and Psychological Measurement, 65(3), 2005, pp. 482-508.
- [3] E. I. Petanova, E.P. Bakhcheeva, Self-management capacity of psychology students as a prerequisite of professional success / Professional and personal development of future specialist: Collection of articles, SPb: Saint-Petersburg University Press, 2012, pp. 93-110.
- [4] N. M. Peisakhov, M.N. Shevtsov, "Practical psychology", Kazan, Kazan University Press, 1991, p. 120.
- [5] N. A. Vagapova, "Structural features of self-management psychological mechanism (based on academic and professional activities)", thesis for PhD degree, Kazan, 1998.
- [6] G. Sh. Gabreeva, Menta state self-management, KGU Press, 1981, p. 63.